

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,036 07/08/2003		07/08/2003	Akhlaq Moman	180577-00630WS	9805	
31013	7590	05/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		NAFTALIS & FR	PASTERCZY	PASTERCZYK, JAMES W		
		HE AMERICAS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YOR	NEW YORK, NY 10036			1755		
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/616,036	MOMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	J. Pasterczyk	1755
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/5/5</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	 is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,7,8,10,11,14-19,21-28 and 30</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27, 28 and 30</u> is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,7,8,10,11,14-19 and 21-26</u> is/a 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,7,8,10,11,14-19,21-28 and 30</u>	e withdrawn from consideration.  are rejected.	ection requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the E e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application on the control of t	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1755

- 1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 5/5/06 and refers to the Office action mailed 12/29/05.
- 2. The 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections are all overcome by the latest amendments. However, c.f. below for maintained rejections.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14-19 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shipley in view of Mink and Hamed as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 5 of the previous Office action.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/5/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants essentially urge that combining more than two transition metal catalyst components in the same catalyst composition is unobvious. However, each of Shipley, Mink and Hamed combines two such components, each for the purpose of obtaining a broad or bimodal molecular weight distribution polymer. It would have been no stretch at all to combine more than two such components in order to obtain the same effects as the prior art all disclose. The use of an organic support instead of an inorganic support would have been obvious for the purpose of producing a polymer having less ash upon combustion, and thus less byproduct upon disposal.

6. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on

combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is 571-272-1375. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1755

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ELIZABETH WOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1753

J. Pasterczyk

AU 1755

5/16/06